

Splitting the cosmic web for more information

Yan-Chuan Cai



Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille 22 June, 2022



Cosmology: knowns and unknowns





Expansion history
$$H^{2} \equiv \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{2} = \frac{8\pi G \rho}{3} - \frac{k}{a^{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}$$

Growth of structure









eBOSS collaboration, 2021PhRvD.103h3533A

An almost perfect model

Nature of dark components unknown Law of gravity needs to be tested Observed H0 do not agree Amplitude of fluctuations is low Cold Spot too cold Missing baryons

. . .

Density perturbation, growth rate, variance



Variance of the density field



Cosmic Web: Why bother

Large Scale

Pros Simple – Gaussian 2-point statistics is complete Easy to model

Cons

Limited by survey volume Expensive to improve

Small Scale

Pros Lots of info: $N \propto k^3$ Public data available sensitive to dark components

Cons

Complex: cosmic web Non-linear, non-Gaussian Difficult to model

Comology: Cosmic-web: Galaxies

Model of the Universe

Law of gravity Dark energy Dark matter Ordinary matter



Redshift Space Distortion (RSD)



 $P_s = (b + f\mu^2)^2 P = (b/f + \mu^2)^2 f^2 P$

Kaiser 1987





Nature 410, 169–173 (2001)

A measurement of the cosmological mass density from clustering in the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey

John A. Peacock¹, Shaun Cole², Peder Norberg², Carlton M. Baugh², Joss Bland-Hawthorn³, Terry Bridges³, Russell D. Cannon³, Matthew Colless⁴, Chris Collins⁵, Warrick Couch⁶, Gavin Dalton⁷, Kathryn Deeley⁶, Roberto De Propris⁶, Simon P. Driver⁸, George Efstathiou⁹, Richard S. Ellis^{9,10}, Carlos S. Frenk², Karl Glazebrook¹¹, Carole Jackson⁴, Ofer Lahav⁹, Ian Lewis³, Stuart Lumsden¹², Steve Maddox¹³, Will J. Percival¹, Bruce A. Peterson⁴, Ian Price⁴, Will Sutherland^{7,1}, Keith Taylor^{3,10}

141,000 galaxies

Growth rate parameter $\beta \equiv \Omega^{0.6}/b = 0.43 \pm 0.07$ (16%)

(considering $8 h^{-1} \text{Mpc} < r < 25 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$)

 $P_s(\mathbf{k}) = P_r(k) \left(1 + \beta \mu^2\right)^2 \left(1 + k^2 \sigma_p^2 \mu^2 / 2H_0^2\right)^{-1}$

The clustering of galaxies in the completed SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: On the measurement of growth rate using galaxy correlation functions 2017MNRAS.469.1369S



RSD model

$$1 + \xi^{s}(s_{\perp}, s_{\parallel}) = \int \left[1 + \xi(r)\right] \mathcal{P}(v_{\parallel}, \mathbf{r}) dv_{\parallel} \quad \text{Peebles 1980}$$

 $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{r} + \frac{v_{\parallel}}{aH}\hat{\mathbf{z}}$

Observed galaxy distribution = true galaxy distribution * velocity distribution

$$1 + \xi^{s}(s_{\perp}, s_{\parallel}) = \int (1 + \xi(r)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\parallel}^{2}(r, \mu)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\left[v_{\parallel} - v_{r}(r)\mu\right]^{2}}{2\sigma_{\parallel}^{2}(r, \mu)}\right\} dv_{\parallel}$$

Fisher 1995

Observed galaxy distribution = true galaxy distribution * Gaussian velocity distribution

What we need to know for RSD modeling

- Real-space correlation function
- Pairwise velocity distributions

In the Gaussian limit:

Streaming velocity profile v(r)

Velocity dispersion profile sigma_v(r)

Question 1

• Why with a factor of 10 increase for the number of galaxies, the constraining power for the growth does not increase by a factor of sqrt(10)?

Approximate number density of galaxies







E. Paillas, YC, N. Padilla, A. Sánchez 2021

Density-split RSD







RSD modeling with split densities

- Real-space density profiles delta(r)
- Velocity distributions

In the Gaussian limit:

Streaming velocity profile v(r)

Velocity dispersion profile sigma_v(r)











Summary

- Standard model of the Universe seem to fit, but there are issues to resolve
- Big surveys of large-scale structure promises tight constraints for cosmology, but we are limited by systematics
- RSD measures the growth of structure
- RSD with splitting densities: improves modelling accuracy tighten constraints for the growth